

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner found that claims 13, 26, and 40 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form and rejected the remaining claims as obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art.

Applicants added the requirements of allowable claims 13, 26, and 40 to independent claims 11, 24, and 38 to place claims 11, 24, and 38 in condition for allowance. Applicants amended claim 11 to provide antecedent basis for the “remote storage” element. Applicants amended claims 26 and 40 to add certain elements to the preamble. Applicants amended claim 24 to add “means for” language before one of the functional limitations.

Applicants canceled certain claims and amended certain dependent claims so that all pending claims depend from one of claims 11, 24, and 38.

Applicants submit that all pending claims 2, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 17, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31, 35, 36, 38, 39, and 41 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

For all the above reasons, Applicant submits that the pending claims 2, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 17, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31, 35, 36, 38, 39, and 41 are patentable over the art of record. Nonetheless, should any additional fees be required, please charge Deposit Account No. 09-0466.

The attorney of record invites the Examiner to contact him at (310) 553-7977 if the Examiner believes such contact would advance the prosecution of the case.

Dated: July 3, 2006

By: /David Victor/

David W. Victor
Registration No. 39,867

Please direct all correspondences to:

David Victor
Konrad Raynes & Victor, LLP
315 South Beverly Drive, Ste. 210
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
Tel: 310-553-7977
Fax: 310-556-7984